

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address Conference of the P.J. Bax. 1450 Advantage of the P.J. Bax. 1450 Advant

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/790,678	03/03/2004	Matthew Rubin Lerner	003797.00912	2303
28319 7:	7590 10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD.,			VAUGHN, GREGORY J	
ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797 1001 G STREET , N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/790,678	LERNER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gregory J. Vaughn	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/10/06 (2pgs)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Action Background

- 1. This action is responsive to the applicant's amendment, filed on 8/18/2006.
- 2. Applicant has amended claim 1, and added new claims 5-12.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are pending in the case, claims 1 and 7 are independent claims.
- 4. Acknowledgement is made to the applicant's submission of an Information Disclosure Statement, filed 8/10/2006.
- 5. Applicant has amended the specification in response to the objections cited by the examiner in the *Specification* section of the previous office action (dated 6/16/20064). Applicant's amendment has addressed the objections previously made, and therefore, in view of the amendment, objections to the specification are withdrawn.
- 6. Examiner's rejection of claim 1-4, made under 35 USC 101 in the *Claim Rejections 35 USC 101* section of the previous office action (dated 6/16/2006) is withdrawn in view of the amended claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - "The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention."
- 8. Claims 1, 5-7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter, which was not described in the original specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 9. **Regarding claims 1, 6, 7 and 12**, the amendment filed 8/18/2006 adds the following limitation: "indicia of attributes". The examiner has reviewed the originally filed specification, and has failed to find support for the added limitations. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in response to this office action.
- 10. **Regarding claims 5 and 11**, the amendment filed 8/18/2006 adds the following limitation: "the obtained set of annotated documents includes at least two documents". The examiner has reviewed the originally filed specification, and has failed to find support for the added limitations. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in response to this office action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

"A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States."
- 12. Claims 1-4 remain rejected and claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Golovchinsky et al. US Patent 6,389,435, filed 2/5/1999, patented 5/14/2002 (hereinafter Golovchinsky).
- 13. Regarding independent claim 1, Golovchinsky discloses a plurality of annotated documents, where the annotated documents are web-based documents with annotation data. Golovchinsky discloses web-based documents as hypertext documents (see column 1, lines 15-40) used on the Internet (column 3, lines 31-39). Golovchinsky discloses annotation data as digital ink in Figures 2 and 3 (shown at reference signs 42, 44 and 46).

Golovchinsky discloses a searching an index of annotation data attributes to obtain a set of documents that is a subset of the plurality of annotated documents. Golovchinsky recites: "this invention is directed to an electronic system that facilitates navigation from an index to portions of a document or documents that are of interest to the user" (column 1, lines 7-11) and "by making a variety of different types and styles of freeform digital ink marks in

the index, the relationships between terms in a document can be more quickly comprehended by a user" (column 2, lines 16-19).

Golovchinsky discloses generating a thumbnail of the annotated document in figure 4. Golovchinsky discloses associating searchable attributes to the annotation data with a searchable index. Golovchinsky recites: "this invention facilitates navigation from an index to portions of a document that are of interest to a user using freeform digital ink. A user can mark terms in an index page using freeform digital ink and the freeform digital ink mark is copied to instances of the annotated terms that occur in the document" (column 1, line 67 to column 2, line 5). Golovchinsky discloses searching the plurality of annotated documents for a subset of the annotated documents. Golovchinsky recites: "An embodiment of the system and method of this invention treats the selection of multiple original freeform digital ink marks as a query that causes the system to display a ranked list of search results" (column 4, lines 27-31).

- 14. **Regarding dependent claim 2**, Golovchinsky discloses an annotation element in Figures 2 and 3 at reference signs 42, 44 and 46.
- 15. **Regarding dependent claim 3**, Golovchinsky discloses an annotation element in the form of ink marks in Figures 2 and 3 at reference signs 42, 44 and 46.
- 16. **Regarding dependent claim 4**, Golovchinsky discloses ink mark shapes in Figures 2 and 3 at reference signs 42, 44 and 46.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,678

Art Unit: 2178

17. **Regarding dependent claim 5**, Golovchinsky discloses set of annotated

documents includes at least two documents. Golovchinsky recites: "this

invention is directed to an electronic system that facilitates navigation from an

index to portions of a document or documents" (column 1, lines 7-11).

18. Regarding dependent claim 6, Golovchinsky discloses the indicator of

the attributes of the annotation data is a pointer in Figures 2 and 3. In Figure

2, at reference signs 42, 44 and 46, the search attributes are indicated by the

digital ink marks shown, wherein each digital ink mark indicator has a distinct

shape. In figure 3, the digital ink mark pointers are shown at each occurrence

of the attributes indicated in Figure 2.

19. Regarding claims 7-12, the claims are directed toward a computer

readable media for the method of claims 1-6, and are rejected using the same

rationale.

#### Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed 8/18/2006 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive.

21. Regarding independent claim 1, applicant states: "Golovchinsky's

discussion of a list of passages fails to teach or suggest obtaining a set of

annotated documents. Also, the query in Golovchinsky appears to search the

text of the original document. There is no mention of searching for at least

Page 6

one of the attributes of annotation data" (page 8, last paragraph, of the reply filed 8/18/2006). Applicant is directed to the rejection of claim 1, as recited above. Golovchinsky discloses a searching an index of annotation data attributes to obtain a set of documents that is a subset of the plurality of annotated documents. Golovchinsky recites: "this invention is directed to an electronic system that facilitates navigation from an index to portions of a document or documents that are of interest to the user" (column 1, lines 7-11) and "by making a variety of different types and styles of freeform digital ink marks in the index, the relationships between terms in a document can be more quickly comprehended by a user" (column 2, lines 16-19).

Applicant further states: "Golovchinsky fails to teach or suggest receiving a plurality of annotated documents" (page 9, first paragraph, of the response filed 8/18/2006). Applicant is directed to the rejection of claim 1 as recited above. Golovchinsky discloses a plurality of annotated documents as described above.

#### Conclusion

22. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Vaughn whose telephone number is (571) 272-4131. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached at (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-2100.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,678

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private

PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center

(EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9

Gregory J. Vaughn Patent Examiner October 27, 2006